

**APPROVED MINUTES
OF THE CITY
COUNCIL WORK SESSION
CITY OF EAST GRAND FORKS
TUESDAY, AUGUST 25, 2020 – 5:00 PM**

CALL TO ORDER:

The Work Session of the East Grand Forks City Council for Tuesday, August 25, 2020 was called to order by Council President Olstad at 5:00 P.M.

CALL OF ROLL:

On a Call of Roll the following members of the East Grand Forks City Council were present: Mayor Steve Gander, Council President Mark Olstad, Council Vice-President Chad Grassel, Council Members Clarence Vetter, Dale Helms, Tim Riopelle, Tim Johnson and Marc DeMers.

DETERMINATION OF A QUORUM:

The Council President Determined a Quorum was present.

1. Presentation of 2019 CAFR Report –Tracee Bruggeman & Karla Anderson

Ms. Anderson introduced Ms. Tracee Bruggeman from Brady Martz who was going to review the information from the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, which CAFR stands for. Ms. Bruggeman thanked the Council for hiring Brady Martz to complete this report and staff for their assistance when completing the report. She explained the City received an unmodified opinion and it is their opinion the financial statements present fairly in all material respects regarding the City's financial position as of December 31, 2019. She reviewed the revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance for governmental funds. She stated the fund balance increased \$504,461, at the end of the year the fund balance totaled \$6,524,063, and the capital projects fund balance increased \$175,777 for a total year-end fund balance of \$726,860. She continued with the current city projects fund balance that decreased by \$17,475 leaving a fund balance of \$114,594, the 2017 Assessment Bond fund decreased by \$92,493 leaving a fund balance of \$592,342, and the nonmajor governmental funds increased by \$1,222,165 and had a remaining fund balance of \$4,459,113.

Ms. Bruggeman continued reviewing the increases and decreases with the proprietary funds that included the electric, water, sewage, storm water, commercial properties, refuse, and the internal service fund on page 28 of the report. She stated the information on cash, cash equivalents, and investments were on page 38 of the report and informed the Council that cash and investments were \$2,400,000 more than the prior year. She added that at the end of the year cash and investments balance was \$34,917,674. She referred to page 127, which showed their report on internal control over financial reporting and based on their audit they did not find any instances of non-compliance. She said in addition to the report she reminded the Council the State Auditor recommended an unrestricted fund balance of 35% to 50% of operating revenue or five months of expenditures and currently the City's fund balance is at 56% of revenue. She asked for questions. Ms. Anderson thanked Ms. Bruggeman for the report and for putting on the session explaining the comprehensive annual financial report, which was recorded. Mr. Murphy said he would share the

recording with the Council, staff, and work with Mr. Thompson to provide the information to the public if it was requested.

2. 2022 Federal Project – Steve Emery

Mr. Emery reminded the Council this was discussed last on July 28th, the City would be receiving up to \$860,000 in federal sub-target funds in 2022 and there is an 80/20 split in costs so the City would need construction costs of \$1.1 million to receive the full amount. He stated there were three projects that were being considered, that has been narrowed down to two projects. He said the project currently listed was the round-a-bout on Bygland Road and Rhinehart Drive, there were a couple options of placement for the round-a-bout, and they would need to work with the Minnesota Department of Transportation on the entry angle when turning on to Rhinehart Drive which may require an exception. He added this project is currently estimated for \$1.5 million.

Mr. Emery stated the second project being considered was a reconstruction of 10th Street NE in the Industrial Park from 11th Avenue NE to 15th Avenue NE that included new drain tile, geo-grid fabric, and a new aggregate base section along with a possible extension of the road past 15th Avenue NE for 1100 feet. He explained the cost for replacing the catch basin and storm sewer leads has been included in the estimate along with replacing the clay-tile sewer lines while replacing the street. He added staff had talked about narrowing the road to 35 feet across because they did not see the need for parking along the south side of the street so it would have two 12-foot driving lanes and a parking lane along the north side of the road. He said this can always be changed but this is what was used for when calculating the estimates, which totaled \$2.3 million.

Mr. Emery reviewed where the funding could come from to cover the costs for the round-a-bout. He stated the City would receive the federal sub-target funds of up to \$860,000, there is \$130,000 included for electrical relocation paid for by the Water and Light Department, and the remaining \$574,000 could come out of either the State Aid allocation or maintenance funds. He continued with the 10th Street NE project, \$172,000 could come from the sanitary sewer fund, 30% of the cost could come from assessments, and 50% from assessments for the extension. He added staff was checking into what property could be assessed and the remainder of \$465,000 that could come from the State Aid allocation funds.

Mr. Emery said maps and estimates were included in the packet, he would answer any questions on that material, and the Council will need to decide which project they move forward with in 2022. Council member Helms asked if the storm sewer is okay. Mr. Emery said the pipe looked good but there was a lot of debris in the pipe. Mr. Stordahl explained there were issues from the original installation, they are still in the process of cleaning out debris, and things look good once the debris has been cleaned out. Council member Helms asked if this would help with the flooding issues in the Industrial Park. Mr. Stordahl stated a lot of debris was cleaned out and they did not find any breaks.

Council President Olstad asked how many properties were involved, if any of the property owners had been contacted, and if there was any feedback from them. Mr. Emery said there would be about 10 properties affected by this project and they have not been contacted at this time. He added if they move forward with this project, an assessment roll will need to be completed and there would need to be an improvement hearing. Mr. Murphy said it was discussed at the staff level and before contacting property owners he wanted to make sure what the options were, especially for the south side of the road, and staff was going to wait until a project had been chosen before reaching out to property owners.

Council President Olstad asked if any property owners had come forward requesting the street be reconstructed and he would not move forward unless 100% of the owners signed off on this project because he does not want the City to have to pay for anything on this project. He commented they have ran into to many problems too many times. Mr. Murphy said the City has been contacted about concerns from property owners about needed repairs but none has said they were willing to pay for the repairs. Mr. Stordahl said he has been contacted several times by property owners who are having traffic in their parking lots to avoid areas, which he has witnessed firsthand. He explained the base is so far gone any work that has been done to try and reshape the road has not worked and the extension is the worst part of the street and received the most complaints.

Council member Vetter asked if the City was not able to assess the railroad if the City would be paying those assessments. Mr. Murphy said yes and if that was the case, there are options to determine where the funding would come from for the City's portion. Council President Olstad asked what those assessments totaled. Mr. Galstad informed the Council the railroad was not exempt from assessments and he had not been able to confirm ownership of the property. Council President Olstad asked what the assessment would be for this section. Mr. Emery said the current estimate was \$396,000. Council President Olstad said he does not want the City to pay for this project. Mr. Emery said the extension could be shortened to help reduce costs. Council President Olstad asked what the bonding costs would be. Mr. Murphy stated the City would be better off to self-finance \$400,000 instead of bonding for it. Ms. Anderson said they could consider using TIF dollars or using fund 401, which has been used for other projects, which were assessed, and assessments are being collected. Mr. Murphy added he had seen it where a project was assessed to one side of the street because the other side was considered non-buildable and did not receive a benefit. Mr. Emery told the Council at the beginning of 2022 the State Aid allocation fund is estimated to have a balance of \$1.1 million, which could cover the \$396,000.

Council President Olstad stated \$396,000 was a large amount, the City is looking at the loss of \$88,000 for local government aid, and it will need to be paid back. Mayor Gander said they need to prioritize a project, the condition of 10th Street would not improve, and they need to determine which project should be completed first. Mr. Murphy asked if there was a consensus from the Council on which project takes priority. Council President Olstad stated it is hard to prioritize if they do not know where the funding is coming from for the \$396,000 so they need more information on both projects on how they would be completed including where the funds are coming from to pay for these projects. Mayor Gander said if they can handle the worst-case scenario, they would be able to prioritize the projects. Council member Vetter said he agreed they need to prioritize, the biggest priority for him was the Rhinehart round-a-bout, and suggested completing the 10th Street project the next time the City receives federal sub-target dollars which would allow time to discuss the project with the property owners. He commented how there are too many unknowns with the 10th Street project and suggested moving forward with the round-a-bout in 2022, the Rhinehart round-a-bout is a cheaper option, and waiting on the other project will allow time to budget for any City assessments.

Ms. Anderson stated there is approximately \$800,000 in the 401 fund, which could be used to assess others or pay the City's share. Council President Olstad asked the other council members how they would like to prioritize the projects. Council Vice-President Grassel asked which option Council member Vetter favored for placement of the round-a-bout. Council member Vetter said option two. Council member Helms asked if any commercial businesses that would need to be removed. Mr. Emery said the one driveway may need to be relocated but nothing else would be changed. Council member Helms asked if any property owners would be assessed for the round-a-bout. Mr. Emery explained this project would be paid for through the federal dollars and the State Aid funds so nothing would be assessed. He added the

City had enough funds in the State Aid allocation fund to cover the \$396,000 for the 10th Street project and he was informed by the Metropolitan Planning Organization that the funds for 2026 may be used on the Minnesota Avenue Bridge.

Council President Olstad asked for the opinions of the group. Mr. Murphy commented there are some unknowns with the round-a-bout also so there will be a certain amount of risk regardless the project they move forward with. Council President Olstad stated he would like everyone to understand there are unknowns for both projects and he the project they should move forward with was the 10th Street NE. Council member Helms asked if this could be postponed for a couple weeks to see if some of the unknowns can be answered. Mr. Murphy said they could come up with a proposed assessment roll, which would give a better idea, what can be assessed, and if there are any mitigated damages. He added the unknowns for the round-a-bout may be more difficult to obtain but they can come up with estimates. Council President Olstad asked if there were any dates or deadlines coming up that they needed to be aware of. Mr. Emery said no, the plans and specifications would need to be completed by January or February of 2022, and they could do more preliminary work including talking to property owners to allow the Council to make a more informed decision. Mayor Gander requested to see a high and low range of assessments and show where the funding would be coming from.

This item will be brought back to a future work session.

3. Consider Contract with AE2S for H2S Corrosion and Rehabilitation on Manholes 1-246 and 1-247 – David Murphy

Mr. Murphy said there were two manholes located by the master lift station that have considerable corrosion due to hydrogen sulfide, a portion was addressed as part of the lift station rehab, but it did not address the entire problem. He explained he requested another perspective on this, AE2S has taken a look at this, and they found it can be rebuilt in place for an estimated cost of \$44,000 and include the proper coating to prevent future corrosion from hydrogen sulfide. He added the lower sections are significantly deteriorated and there are sections that are partially gone. He asked to have this project completed prior to freeze up and if the Council agreed they would contract with AE2S to provide services, they would be able to gather quotes and estimates instead of competitive bidding, and he asked Mr. Gaddie to provide more information.

Mr. Shawn Gaddie stated they considered three no dig alternatives, they are recommending moving forward with a Class A structural repair, explained how this repair would be completed, and this repair would return the manhole close to its original integrity. He added this project would be completed utilizing a short form contract for services, this would require bypassing for approximately three days while the work was being completed in the manhole, there would be two contractors involved in this process, and they would make sure the work met specifications. Mr. Murphy said the fee for contracting with AE2S on this project was approximately \$7,300, which was about 15% of the project cost.

Council member Johnson asked if these would be sealed or if there was going to be smell. Mr. Gaddie said there is no odor mitigation, this is a structural repair, and they included some ideas on odor mitigation in the draft report but that was not included with the project. Mr. Murphy said the repair is the priority; the smell has been an issue for some time, and he asked AE2S to look at that as well while they were looking to the structural repair. He added there are several options that would be brought forward in the future. Mayor Gander asked if the city engineer would have any responsibility with this project. Mr. Murphy said no, AE2S would be providing inspections, and be completing the work.

This item will be referred to a City Council Meeting for action.

4. French Drain along levee west of Laurel Drive – Jason Stordahl

Mr. Stordahl stated the ditch just to the south of the lift station by Laurel Drive always has water in it, they received permits from the Army Corps of Engineers to improve this drainage, but they are limited by elevation south of the lift station. He said this was a maintenance issue and proposed installing a French drain system where some of the material would be removed, drain tile and rock would be added, and they would regrade the ditch the best they are able. He added there were two estimates with the low estimate being from RJ Zavorals for \$41,415.70, he recommended awarding this to RJ Zavorals and said there were sufficient funds to cover this project. Council Vice-President Grassel asked if this was the same repair that could be done behind the levee by River Road. Mr. Stordahl said they could do something similar in that location.

This item will be referred to a City Council Meeting for action.

5. Ultima Bank Reserved Parking Spaces Request – David Murphy

Mr. Murphy said a request has been received from Ultima Bank for reserved parking spaces on 2nd Street NW. He explained they were located in the southwest corner of the mall close to the corner of Demers Avenue and 2nd Street and the request was to have these two spaces for bank customers reserved from Monday through Friday between 8am and 5pm next to the building. He asked for direction from the Council. He added there were not any other reserved parking on 2nd Street other than the exception for Galstad and Jenson Law Office since they are a subcontractor with the City. He asked Mr. Joe Fietek to explain to the Council how this would benefit the business.

Mr. Fietek introduced himself as the new branch manager for Ultima Bank. He explained there is no reserved parking so in the afternoon bank customers are having a hard time finding a place to park. He said these two spots would allow their customers to be able to have a place to park when visiting the bank during their regular hours. Council member Riopelle asked what makes Ultima Bank more special than the other businesses and how if this is granted they could all request parking. Mr. Fietek said Mamma Maria's had been granted an exemption on the other side of the building and they built an outdoor patio. Council President Olstad stated that area was on private property. Mr. Fietek said the patrons of the other businesses are more long term compared to the bank customers who are done with their business in about 10 or 15 minutes so they were looking for a convenience factor. Mayor Gander asked if they could change a couple spots to 30 minute parking to allow for this accommodation.

Council member DeMers said they do not have a parking problem but if exemptions continue to be granted it could turn into a parking problem. He added he hopes this business does great and he asked how were to enforce 30 minute parking without having a cop down there on a regular basis. He said he would not vote for this. Mayor Gander this community is pro-business, it would be great if they could accommodate all of these requests, but it is very tricky to do that. He commented how most banks are in their own footprint and have their own parking, they would like to help, but at this time, he does not see how this can be done. Mr. Murphy commented the consensus was that this would not be moving forward and he would reach out to Mr. Fietek the following day.

6. Request to Rent Civic Center for Arts & Craft Show – Reid Huttunen

Mr. Huttunen informed the Council that the Arts and Crafts Council met and decided to move forward with holding the annual Arts and Crafts show only indoors at the Civic Center. He explained there would be some restrictions under the State's current guidelines, the maximum amount of people allowed in at one time is 250, masks would be required, and people would need to practice social distancing. He said this was an annual event so it usually did not come before the Council but due to COVID he wanted to make the Council was okay with this event moving forward. Council member Johnson asked what the dates of this were. Mr. Huttunen said it was the first weekend in October, which was October 3rd and 4th.

This item will be referred to a City Council Meeting for action.

7. Coalition of Greater Minnesota Cities dues and Voluntary Assessment Request – David Murphy

Mr. Murphy said this was not something he usually brought forward but did because the Coalition was requesting a voluntary assessment. He asked for direction on the 2021 voluntary assessment, which is used as a separate environmental action fund. He said they were recommending 25 cents per capita, which came to a total of \$2,219 for the City. He added this has been requested for five or so years and each year the City has turned it down because it is the City's opinion the attorney at Flaherty & Hood did not file in time so it is not the fault of the citizens of the City. He stated it was his recommendation to just pay the normal dues of \$14,724 and decline paying the voluntary assessment.

This item will be referred to a City Council Meeting for action.

8. Budget Update with 5% Levy Increase

Ms. Anderson said she had changed the levy to 5% has requested by Mayor Gander and took off the campground road patching project which removed \$130,000 from the budget which left a balance of a negative \$400,000. She asked Mayor Gander what he would like to see. Mayor Gander stated the Council would need to give direction to staff on how much they are willing to reduce the general fund balance, the accountant stated this fund is currently above the recommended range, there is room to bring it down, but they do not want to get into the habit of draining the fund down too far. He added 1.7% of the levy would be replacing the reduction of local government aid, if nothing was changed \$400,000 could be taken from the fund balance, and he suggested letting staff know what their comfort level was with reducing the general fund dollars. He said staff would be able to go back and cut back to that level.

Council President Olstad asked if Mayor Gander was referring to the reserves. Mayor Gander said yes and it was what they had done the previous year. He asked if they were at 56% right now. Mr. Murphy said that was correct and Ms. Anderson was looking into ways the City could utilize the funds since the City is over the goal amount in reserves. Ms. Anderson explained if the Council wanted to bring down the fund balance to 50% approximately \$500,000 could be used and reminded the Council the recommendation was to have 35% to 50% as a balance. Mr. Murphy thanked the department heads and staff for the work done when it came to the budget along with holding their budgets accountable. He stated department heads do not buy things if they are not needed, they find cost savings, and there is usually savings at the end of the year. He added the City is in a much better situation compared to other cities because there were funds available to offset spending.

Ms. Anderson said the department heads need information on where the Council would like to see the budget at, if services should be cut, and if any reserves would be used. Council member DeMers said looking at the actuals compared to budget, how there was an increase in revenues of \$1.1 million in the two previous years, and if there was a way to estimate what there would be for 2020 or if they would be coming up short. He added they have talked about the reasons for that and how they would need to try to gauge where things might end up. He stated he was willing to use some of the reserves but would like to try to anticipate what will happen with 2020 and if that trend will continue.

Ms. Anderson said at the last meeting she stated there was still \$68,000 remaining in the travel budget, other things are hard to estimate like in the Park and Recreation Department because there were fewer participants but there were also fewer services. She said it was hard to determine where things would be, the campground was usually full, it is different this year, but the City is reimbursed for expenses. She said some of the overages are from the campground because the revenue is split which can be hard to budget for and some years they had collected more because they had not collected for the previous years. She added she tried to be very conservative when it comes to budgeting; expected expenses are a little higher to make sure things are covered, and she is confident there would not be a huge drain on the fund balance at year end but again it was hard to estimate where things would end up. Ms. Anderson said personnel is covered in the budget and the unexpected COVID costs were covered.

Council member DeMers asked if it was possible to include the percentage of employee costs because that is more of a fixed cost on the budget summary sheet. Ms. Anderson said that data was available. Council President Olstad asked if 1% of the levy was equal to approximately \$55,000. Mr. Murphy said that was correct. Council member DeMers commented many people have experienced a hardship and suggested using funds from the fund balance to cover the budget and increase and go with a 0% increase giving the message the Council understood it has been a hard year. He added this would be a one-time thing and he said it to start a discussion.

Mayor Gander said he would be hesitant to do that because the funds there would need to be a double increase the following year so the effect of spending the cash versus a small increase in taxation would have to be caught up later. He said if they did want to hold the line, it could be a 3% increase, which could look like a net zero increase in taxes due to growth. He continued saying he would feel better using the surplus towards one-time capital expenditures but not for ongoing expenses or operations. Council Vice-President Grassel said if 6% was \$500,000, taking half of that and applying it to the \$399,000, which would leave \$150,000, and using the levy to make up the difference it would be around 2% levy increase. He asked if it was palatable and they need to make sure things don't end up where they were eight years ago. Council President Olstad said the \$399,000 was with a 5% levy increase.

Mayor Gander said he had sent an email to staff suggested the Council might be comfortable with using \$250,000 to \$300,000 from the reserves. He reminded the Council they had budgeted to the previous year to use \$182,227, \$250,000 up to \$300,000 was within reason, and gave staff a target to get to. He said that was him and it was up for discussion. Council member DeMers said they would be closer to zero than \$182,000 in the hole, it's not as of a big of a step backwards, and be more aggressive because they budgeted for a shortfall and he expects they will end up closer to zero.

Council President Olstad asked when they would know more information on where things would end up for 2020. Mr. Murphy said it would not be until after the first of the year because there are bills that are paid in January from the previous year. Ms. Anderson said she was able to come up with an estimate last year in December. She stated she had looked up personnel costs, which is about 61% of the general

budget, the levy increase for the previous year was set at 5%, and they review the five properties each year. She stated the commercial property increased because it increased in value and reviewed how the other properties had decreased with a 5% levy. She suggested leaving the levy at 5% and waiting until the truth and taxation to see where things end up. Council President Olstad said he understood what was being suggested, they could wait for more information, and decide the final levy in December. Mayor Gander asked if anyone else wanted to suggest an amount of reserves to use, revenues usually come in higher, the expenses are usually lower, and the bottom line number has been better than any projection. He asked again if anyone wanted to give guidance.

Council member Vetter said the argument could be used against what was being said, if they leave the 5% or leave it to zero; they can do the same with expenses. He stated if the expenses were left the way they are being proposed there would be places for department heads to cut back on so the same argument could be used on expenses as it was used on revenues. He said there should a balanced budget, see what the department heads could cut from their budgets, and the Council could decide what to add back in. Mayor Gander said he respects what Council member Vetter said but they are looking at a good faith effort of what expenses could be and it ended up at a negative \$400,000. He suggested giving them a number and allowing staff to cut things back to the number set by the Council. Council member Vetter said they asked for a balanced budget each year. Mayor Gander stated they budgeted funds from the reserves last year to help balance the budget because the reserves were higher than the recommendation.

Ms. Anderson informed the Council a cost of living increase was not included in the proposed budget but the steps employees would be moving up to were included which totaled about 5%. Council member DeMers asked if there was no cost of living included in the budget. Ms. Anderson said that was correct. Mr. Murphy said negotiations needed to take place so the cost of living was not included at this time. Council member DeMers stated there should be reduction in staff because they did not hire the person in library. Ms. Anderson said that position was still included in the budget at this time only until it is determined how those services would be handled and it was an entry-level position.

Council President Olstad said if 1% or 2% for a cost of living increase were included, it would add another \$114,000 or \$115,000, which is something to keep in mind. Council member DeMers asked when the resolution needed to be adopted by. Ms. Anderson said it was not due until the end of September. She told the Council there would be more information at the September work session with Water and Light proposed rates along with information on the enterprise funds. Council member DeMers said he was okay with a maximum levy of 5% but the Council could do better. Council President Olstad stated having these discussions could give a good idea of what to expect but then there would be a couple more months to review and finalize the budget and levy. Mayor Gander said there was not a consensus from the Council of what the number could be. Council member DeMers said he would be fine with more than \$400,000 because he does not think they need to be at 50% in the fund balance. Mayor Gander asked if they used part of it for capital expenditures. Council Vice-President Grassel said he suggested \$250,000. Mayor Gander said he liked that number.

Ms. Anderson told the Council there were capital outlay or one-time expenses, which could be paid for by reserves. Council member DeMers asked if the capital outlay for recreation and culture included the local match for a grant. Ms. Anderson said yes, there was \$10,000 for the Red River Corridor local match, and the match for the LaFave Park area would not be budgeted until 2022. Council President Olstad said it was his belief if they use funds for something, all citizens would benefit from it, not just a certain group or certain area of town. Mayor Gander asked about the capital fund for capital expenses and asked why these capital expenses were being budgeted in the general fund. Ms. Anderson said there was not another

capital fund for equipment, most of the capital expenses were equipment except for the street reconstruction, and any projects for repairs or upgrades to the buildings are not a part of the levy. She added there was over \$680,000 listed, which would be one-time expenses. Council President Olstad asked if there were more questions, he would like more information before giving staff a dollar amount, and if they brought down reserves by 6% that would only be \$400,000, not \$500,000.

This will be brought to a future Work Session.

REQUEST FOR SUSPENSION OF RULES FOR DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION OF PAYMENT OF CHECKS #34676 AND #34677.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY COUNCIL MEMBER GRASSEL, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER RIOPELLE, TO APPROVE SUSPENSION OF RULES.

Voting Aye: Johnson, Olstad, Grassel, DeMers, Vetter, Helms, and Riopelle.

Voting Nay: None

Mr. Murphy said there were two checks, one for Galstad, Jenson, and McCann, the billing took longer to process, and the second check was for the July 2020 sales and use tax. He asked for approval for both checks and once approved they would be sent out.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY COUNCIL MEMBER GRASSEL, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER DEMERS, TO PAY CHECKS #34676 AND #34677.

Voting Aye: Johnson, Olstad, Grassel, DeMers, Vetter, Helms, and Riopelle.

Voting Nay: None

ADJOURN:

A MOTION WAS MADE BY COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER GRASSEL, TO ADJOURN THE AUGUST 25, 2020 WORK SESSION OF THE EAST GRAND FORKS, MINNESOTA CITY COUNCIL AT 6:47 P.M.

Voting Aye: Johnson, Olstad, Grassel, DeMers, Vetter, Helms, and Riopelle.

Voting Nay: None

David Murphy, City Administrator/Clerk-Treasurer